comprehensive legislation of taxing all Government properties, it is all right, but not as it is.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—If my Hon'ble friend Sri Pattabhiraman and Sri Rama Rao had gone through the Statement of Objects and Reasons, I think they would not have advanced this argument. The reason for bringing forward this amending Bill is that, at present, under the City Municipalities Act and the Corporation Act and the Town Municipalities Act, the properties belonging to His Highness the Maharaja have been exempted.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—As well as other property.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—Yes, as well as other property. Now, the question is whether the private property of His Highness the Maharaja has to be taxed. In this Amendment Bill it has been proposed that all the private properties of His Highness have to be taxed. Now that exemption has already been granted in the privious Acts, it has been proposed in this bill that exemption be given for the properties of the Palace and buildings appurtenant thereto by bringing forward this amendment. It has become very necessary to grant no exemption to any other property which is the private property of His Highness. Now the doubt is whether the Palace is the private property of His Highness or the State property. In the old Act exemption is given in the case of private properties of His Highness. It is stated in this Bill that it is exempted. That is the position.

*Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.--On the last occasion, a request was made to Government to lay a copy of the agreement on the Table of the House. If they do so, it would be easy for us to go on with this legislation or else it would be rather very difficult for us to understand what the agreement was. Moreover, the agreement between His Excellency the Governor-General of India and His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore dated 23rd January 1950 has been referred to in the Statement of Objects and Reasons. So, I request that a copy of the agreement may kindly be placed on the table so as to enable us to understand what it is that we are doing. Moreover, it is pointed out by Sri T. Mariappa that these two properties have been described in the Schedule as belonging to the State.

4 P.M.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I want clarification as to whether the two properties have been included as private properties or State properties.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—That is why I am submitting, if a copy of the agreement is placed on the table of the House, the matter would be clear.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We are now at the same stage as we were before, that is, on the last day of the previous sittings. Government have promised to reconsider the whole thing from all aspects and if need be, amendments will be moved and the Bill taken up just before the Andhra Bill is taken up for discussion.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—When are we settling the programme ?

Mr. SPEAKER—To-morrow in consultation with you.

MOTION FOR ADJOURNMENT

Delay in making admissions to Technical Colleges.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy has given notice of an adjournment motion as follows :

> " This House do stand adjourned to discuss a definite matter of public importance, namely, the situation arising out of the delay in making admissions to the technical professional Colleges in Mysore State."

A number of short notice questions have been received on this subject or similar subject and I would suggest to the Hon'ble Member to take advantage of that opportunity. If that is not sufficient, he may take opportunity under rule 39 and raise a discussion. It may not be advisable to discuss the subject by way of an adjournment motion.